PRATT'S GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT

VOLUME 8	NUMBER 7	July	2022
Editor's Note: Damag Victoria Prussen Spears			217
Cannot Take Advantag	urts Now Rule That Government and Rela ge of Ambiguous Law to File False Claims ble Damages and Civil Penalties		219
Non-Intervened False	rentially Applies Excessive Fines Clause to Claims Act Case and Elliot S. Rosenwald		232
OFCCP Announces Place Points for Federa Cheryl L. Behymer and		: 10	235
	ts New Flexibilities as Alternative Remedie	S	
Increase Dominique L. Casimir a	and Tjasse L. Fritz		239
In the Courts Steven A. Meyerowitz			242



QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the Editorial Content appearing in these volumes or replease call: Heidi A. Litman at	. 516-771-2169			
Outside the United States and Canada, please call	(973) 820-2000			
For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call:				
Customer Services Department at	(800) 833-9844			
Outside the United States and Canada, please call	(518) 487-3385			
Fax Number	(800) 828-8341			
Customer Service Website http://www.lexisne	xis.com/custserv/			
For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call				
Your account manager or	(800) 223-1940			
Outside the United States and Canada, please call	(937) 247-0293			

Library of Congress Card Number:

ISBN: 978-1-6328-2705-0 (print)

ISSN: 2688-7290

Cite this publication as:

[author name], [article title], [vol. no.] PRATT'S GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT [page number] (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt).

Michelle E. Litteken, GAO Holds NASA Exceeded Its Discretion in Protest of FSS Task Order, 1 PRATT'S GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT 30 (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

Because the section you are citing may be revised in a later release, you may wish to photocopy or print out the section for convenient future reference.

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of RELX Inc. Matthew Bender, the Matthew Bender Flame Design, and A.S. Pratt are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2022 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved. Originally published in: 2015

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Editorial Office 230 Park Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169 (800) 543-6862 www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW & BENDER

Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

MARY BETH BOSCO
Partner, Holland & Knight LLP

PABLO J. DAVIS

Of Counsel, Dinsmore & Shohl LLP

MERLE M. DELANCEY JR.

Partner, Blank Rome LLP

J. ANDREW HOWARD

Partner, Alston & Bird LLP

KYLE R. JEFCOAT

Counsel, Latham & Watkins LLP

JOHN E. JENSEN

Partner, Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP

DISMAS LOCARIA

Partner, Venable LLP

MARCIA G. MADSEN

Partner, Mayer Brown LLP

KEVIN P. MULLEN

Partner, Morrison & Foerster LLP

VINCENT J. NAPOLEON

Partner, Nixon Peabody LLP

STUART W. TURNER

Counsel, Arnold & Porter

ERIC WHYTSELL

Partner, Stinson Leonard Street LLP

WALTER A.I. WILSON

Partner Of Counsel, Dinsmore & Shohl LLP

Pratt's Government Contracting Law Report is published 12 times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Copyright © 2022 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 9443 Springboro Pike, Miamisburg, OH 45342 or call Customer Support at 1-800-833-9844. Direct any editorial inquiries and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway Suite 18R, Floral Park, New York smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 631.291.5541. Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to lawyers and law firms, in-house counsel, government lawyers, senior business executives, and anyone interested in privacy and cybersecurity related issues and legal developments. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to *Pratt's Government Contracting Law Report*, LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 230 Park Ave. 7th Floor, New York NY 10169.

ISDC Report Highlights New Flexibilities as Alternative Remedies Increase

By Dominique L. Casimir and Tjasse L. Fritz*

In this article, the authors discuss the key elements for government contractors contained in a recent report to Congress by the Interagency Suspension and Debarment Committee.

The Interagency Suspension and Debarment Committee ("ISDC") has released its annual Section 873 Report to Congress for fiscal year ("FY") 2020. The data in this report provides a big picture view of trends in suspension and debarment. Here is what government contractors need to know.

1. DEBARMENTS INCREASED IN FY 2020

Debarments were up slightly, with 1,256 debarments in 2020 compared with 1,199 in 2019, bucking the downward trend of the previous six years. It is reasonable to expect that the increase in debarments will continue, particularly as the government progresses in investigating fraud associated with the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security ("CARES") Act.

2. SUSPENSIONS AND PROPOSED DEBARMENTS DECREASED

Suspensions decreased, after a brief uptick in 2019, from 722 in 2019 to 415 in 2020, consistent with the general downward trend of years prior.

Similarly, proposed debarments fell from 1,437 in 2019 to 1,317 in 2020.

Interestingly, the ISDC attributes these decreases "in part, to delays in mail service, travel restrictions, and postponements in court proceedings," which means the FY 2020 decrease is likely due to the COVID-19 pandemic rather than an actual governmentwide downward trend in activity.

And the decrease was not uniform: 13 of the 29 agencies reporting their FY 2020 metrics actually increased the number of suspensions.

^{*} Dominique L. Casimir is a partner in the Washington, D.C., office of Blank Rome LLP and co-chair of the firm's General Litigation Practice Group. She concentrates her practice on government contracts litigation and counseling, representing government contractors hailing from a wide variety of industries, including defense, healthcare, information technology, and professional services in matters arising under both military and civilian agency procurements. Tjasse L. Fritz, an associate in the firm's office in Washington, D.C., concentrates her practice on government contracts and related complex litigation. The authors may be contacted at dominique.casimir@blankrome.com and tjasse.fritz@blankrome.com, respectively.

3. THE FY 2020 REPORT INCLUDES FAQS ADDRESSING COMMON MISCONCEPTIONS ABOUT SUSPENSION AND DEBARMENT PRACTICE

Contractors facing a potential exclusion sometimes struggle to find answers to basic questions about the procedures and processes surrounding suspension and debarment. The FY 2020 report includes a new question-and-answer-style appendix that can serve as a useful resource for contractors requiring quick access to plain English explanations.

For instance, the frequently asked questions ("FAQs") section covers topics such as the absence of government quotas for suspensions and debarments, and explains other important facets of suspension and debarment practice including how cases arise and the ISDC's role in setting regulations.

Notably, the FAQs confirm that Suspension & Debarment Officials ("SDOs") do not have additional access to ongoing law enforcement investigations and rely on investigators for referrals and records.

4. NO UPDATE ON THE ACTIVITY OF THE ISDC'S SUBCOMMITTEE ON CYBERSECURITY

In its FY 2018 report, the ISDC revealed that it had created a cybersecurity committee for tracking and reporting on contractor cybersecurity compliance issues. This was noteworthy at the time because it was consistent with the continued emergence of cybersecurity compliance as a major area of focus for government enforcement.

However, neither the FY 2019 nor the FY 2020 ISDC report mentions this committee, or otherwise provides insight into the activity or continued existence of this committee.

5. VOLUNTARY EXCLUSIONS MORE THAN DOUBLED

A voluntary exclusion is an agreement between the agency and a respondent in which the respondent agrees not to bid on or assent to government contracts for a specified period. This is an alternative remedy that allows the contractor to avoid some of the worst effects of being suspended or debarred while still protecting the government from the risk of doing business with a contractor that is not presently responsible.

In 2020, there were 21 reported instances of voluntary exclusions, up from 10 in 2019. This two-fold increase between FY 2019 and FY 2020 indicates that SDOs are increasingly using the full array of tools at their disposal to manage their dockets and create solutions unique to the circumstances.

6. ISDC HAS HAD A SEEMINGLY POSITIVE EXPERIENCE WITH VIRTUAL ADMINISTRATION OF CASES DURING THE PANDEMIC

The COVID-19 pandemic forced everyone to find new ways to work. The ISDC noted agencies' increased flexibility to use electronic notice and conduct virtual presentations of matters in opposition ("PMIOs"). Prior to the pandemic, contractors would fly across the country, or even internationally, to attend PMIOs. While remote proceedings are consistent with the ISDC's effort to enhance the efficiency of suspension and debarment practice, it remains to be seen whether remote proceedings will become the norm even after the pandemic subsides.

7. ISDC SAYS FOR THE FIRST TIME THAT NEGOTIATING ADMINISTRATIVE AGREEMENTS CONSUMES "SIGNIFICANT" GOVERNMENT RESOURCES

The ISDC reports 58 administrative agreements for FY 2020, representing a minor fluctuation from 54 agreements in 2019 and 61 in 2018. While the number of administrative agreements has been steady, the FY 2020 report repeatedly notes the "significant additional" time and resources associated with administrative agreements. ISDC's annual reports have not previously offered this government perspective.

If the effort involved in creating and administering administrative agreements becomes overly burdensome, we expect SDOs might decide to enter into such agreements only in circumstances where a respondent is showing signs of improvement but more time is needed to demonstrate present responsibility.